

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/782,729 | 02/18/2004 | Yasumasa Morimoto | 60866 (48882) | 3394 |
| 21874 7590 08/01/2007 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | MORRISON, THOMAS A | |
| BOSTON, MA 02205 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1 | | | 3653 | |
| | | • | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/01/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/782,729 | MORIMOTO ET AL. | | | |
| Examiner induced interview duminary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Thomas A. Morrison | 3653 | | | |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Thomas A. Morrison</u> . | (3) | | | | |
| (2) Mr. George Neuner (Reg. No. 26,964). | (4) | | | | |
| Date of Interview: | Time: | | | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | | | | |
| Part I. | | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | | | | |
| Claims discussed: None | | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: | | | |
| Part III. | | | | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview | | | |
| | \mathcal{A} | | | | |
| . | PATRICK MACKEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 | IER : | | | |
| Thomas Monin | | 7-7-7-1 | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | | | | |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called Mr. Neuner (applicant's representative) on 7/30/2007 to inform him that the examiner received the amendment after final rejection dated 7/16/2007 and is currently reviewing the case. Mr. Neuner was not available, so the examiner left a message. The examiner will mail an Office Action in the near future.